UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIBIN 9. DUINCS	CASE NO. CV11-04952 HRL		
	Plaintiff(s),		
	v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
County of Montere	py perendant(s).		
	Determant(s),		
	isel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties a	gree to participate in the following ADR process:		
Cour	rt Processes:		
□ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)			
×			
	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)		
n,	Private ADR (please identify process and provider)		
The parties a	gree to hold the ADR session by:		
X :	the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)		
	other requested deadline		
Dated:	Attorney for Plaintiff		
Dated: ///	Attorney for Defendant		

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

(PROPOSE)	order order	
Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the caption	oned matter is hereby referred to:
Ð	Non-binding Arbitration	- -
·x	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
	Mediation	
D	Private ADR	
Deadl	ine for ADR session	
·X	90 days from the date of this order.	
	other	
		\wedge
IT IS SO OR	DERED.	Λ /)
11		Ila Usas
Dated:	<u>/ ' </u>	
 		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
		OTTES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
		HOWARD R. LLOYD